## Lynch Syndrome: MSH2 Mutation

Cancer Risks and General Management Recommendations

Lynch syndrome is the most common type of hereditary colon cancer and accounts for 2%-4% of all colon cancers and 3% of endometrial cancers in the general population. Lynch syndrome occurs in 1:300 to 1:500 individuals, making it the most common hereditary cancer predisposition syndrome. This syndrome is a result of a germline mutation in one of the DNA mismatch repair (MMR) genes, *MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6* and *PMS2*. Lynch syndrome is characterized by early onset colorectal cancer, an increased risk for synchronous and metachronous tumors, and extra-intestinal manifestations.

| Cancer Type | MSH2 Mutation<br>Carrier Cancer<br>Risks <sup>1</sup> | General<br>Population<br>Lifetime<br>Cancer<br>Risks <sup>1</sup> | Surveillance/Management Recommendations <sup>1,2</sup>  |
|-------------|---|---|---|
| Colorectal  | 43-52%  | 4.5%  | Surveillance  |
|             |   |   | <ul> <li>Colonoscopy every 1-2 years starting at age 20-25, or 2-5<br/>years prior to the earliest colon cancer if it is diagnosed<br/>under age 25</li> </ul>  |
|             |   |   | Surgery   |
|             |   |   | <ul> <li>If colon cancer is detected, segmented or extended<br/>colectomy depending on clinical scenario should be<br/>considered</li> </ul>  |
|             |   |   | Chemoprevention   |
|             |   |   | <ul> <li>Aspirin may decrease the risk of colon cancer in Lynch<br/>syndrome, but optimal dose and duration of aspirin therapy<br/>are uncertain<sup>3</sup></li> </ul>   |
| Uterine/    | 21-57%  | 2.7%  | Surveillance  |
| endometrial |   |   | <ul> <li>No clear evidence to support screening for uterine cancer</li> <li>Screening via endometrial biopsy every 1-2 years and transvaginal ultrasound may be considered at clinician's discretion</li> </ul>                                     |
|             |   |   | Surgery   |
|             |   |   | <ul> <li>Hysterectomy is a risk-reducing option that can be considered</li> </ul>   |
|             |   |   | <ul> <li>Timing should be individualized based on whether<br/>childbearing is complete, comorbidities, family history and<br/>gene mutation</li> </ul>  |
|             |   |   | <ul> <li>Women undergoing prophylactic hysterectomy should have<br/>a pre-operative uterine biopsy and the uterus examined<br/>intra-operatively by a pathologist for occult disease</li> </ul>   |
|             |   |   | Chemoprevention   |
|             |   |   | <ul> <li>In the general population, oral contraceptive use has been<br/>associated with a decreased risk of uterine cancer by 50%</li> </ul>  |
| Ovarian     | 10-38%  | 1.3%  | Surveillance  |
|             |   |   | <ul> <li>Data do not support routine ovarian cancer screening</li> <li>Transvaginal ultrasound for ovarian cancer screening has not been shown to be sufficiently sensitive or specific, but may be considered at clinician's discretion</li> </ul> |

|                |             |       | • Sorum CA 13E is an additional ovarian screening test with   |
|----------------|-------------|-------|---|
|                |             |       | <ul> <li>Serum CA-125 is an additional ovarian screening test with<br/>similar caveats</li> </ul>   |
|                |             |       | Surgery   |
|                |             |       | Bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy (BSO) may reduce the incidence of ovarian cancer  |
|                |             |       | <ul> <li>Timing should be individualized based on whether<br/>childbearing is complete, menopause status, comorbidities,</li> </ul>               |
|                |             |       | <ul><li>family history and gene mutation</li><li>Detailed pathologic examination of ovarian specimens can</li></ul>                               |
|                |             |       | yield greater detection of ovarian cancer and should be considered in these high risk patients <sup>3</sup>                                       |
|                |             |       | Chemoprevention   |
|                |             |       | <ul> <li>In the general population, oral contraceptive use has been<br/>associated with a decreased risk of ovarian cancer<sup>4</sup></li> </ul> |
| Gastric        | 0.2-16%     | <1%   | Surveillance  |
| Small Bowel    | 1-10%       | <1%   | <ul> <li>No clear evidence to support surveillance for gastric,<br/>duodenal, and small bowel cancer</li> </ul>                                   |
|                |             |       | <ul> <li>Selected individuals with a family history of gastric,<br/>duodenal, and small bowel cancer may benefit from<br/>surveillance</li> </ul> |
|                |             |       |   |
|                |             |       | , , , ,   |
|                |             |       | incidence of gastric cancer may have an increased risk and  |
|                |             |       | may benefit from increased surveillance   |
|                |             |       | If surveillance is performed, may consider upper endoscopy  |
|                |             |       | with visualization of the duodenum every 3-5 years  |
|                |             |       | beginning at age 40   |
|                |             |       | Consider <i>H. pylori</i> testing and treating, if detected   |
| Urothelial     | 2-18%       | <1%   | Surveillance  |
| Bladder        | 4-17%       | 2.5%  | <ul> <li>No clear evidence to support surveillance for urothelial cancers</li> </ul>  |
|                |             |       | <ul> <li>Surveillance options may include annual urinalysis starting<br/>at 30-35 years of age</li> </ul>   |
| Prostate       | 30-32%      | 11.6% | Surveillance  |
|                |             |       | No consensus management guidelines  |
|                |             |       | Discuss family history and prostate cancer surveillance   |
|                |             |       | options (i.e., PSA, digital rectal exam) with a clinician to  |
|                |             |       | determine an appropriate surveillance regimen   |
| Pancreatic     | Not well    | 1.5%  | Surveillance  |
| T differ edite | established | 1.370 | Consider annual contrast-enhanced MRI/MRCP and/or EUS   |
|                | established |       |   |
|                |             |       | beginning at age 50 years (or 10 years younger than the   |
|                |             |       | earliest exocrine pancreatic cancer diagnosis in the family,  |
|                |             |       | whichever is earlier) for individuals with ≥1 first- or second-   |
|                |             |       | degree relative from the same side (or presumed to be   |
|                |             |       | from the same side of) the family as the identified mutation  |
|                |             |       | Surveillance is not currently recommended for MSH2  |
|                |             |       | mutation carriers in the absence of a close family history of exocrine pancreatic cancer  |
|                |             |       | <ul> <li>For individuals considering pancreatic cancer surveillance,</li> </ul>   |
|                |             |       | surveillance is recommended to be performed in  |
|                |             |       | experienced high-volume centers, ideally under research   |
|                |             |       | conditions  |
| <u> </u>       | _1          |       | Conditions  |

| Brain/       | Not well    | <1% | Surveillance   |
|--------------|-------------|-----|--|
| Central      | established |     | Annual physical/neurological examination starting at age |
| Nervous      |             |     | 25-30 to assess for CNS tumors                           |
| System (CNS) |             |     | No other screening recommendations have been made at     |
|              |             |     | this time  |

Other Cancer Risks: Lynch syndrome is associated with other increased cancer risks including breast and hepatobiliary tract cancers. Exact risks for these cancer types are not well-established individuals with a *MSH2* mutation. Additionally, no consensus management guidelines have been established at this time, aside from general population cancer screening.

Implications for Family Members/Reproductive Considerations

- First-degree relatives (i.e., parents, siblings, and children) have a 50% chance to have the familial *MSH2* mutation. Second-degree relatives (i.e., nieces/nephews, aunts/uncles, and grandparents) have a 25% chance to have the familial mutation.
- Rarely, children inherit an MSH2 gene mutation from both parents. Children with two MSH2 gene mutations
  have a condition called Constitutional Mismatch Repair Deficiency (CMMRD) associated with an increased risk
  for pediatric colon cancer, lymphoma, brain tumors, and café-au-lait spots. We recommend that couples that
  are concerned about this risk talk with a cancer genetic counselor.
- For carriers of a known mutation, assisted reproduction (with or without egg or sperm donation), preimplantation genetic testing, and prenatal diagnosis options exist.
- All family members are encouraged to pursue genetic counseling to clarify their cancer risks. Family members can visit www.FindAGeneticCounselor.com to find genetic services near them.

## References

- 1. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology®: Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Colorectal. Version 3.2019. 2019.
- 2. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology®: Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast, Ovarian, and Pancreatic. Version 1.2020. 2019.
- 3. Powell CB, Kenley E, Chen LM, et al. Risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy in BRCA mutation carriers: role of serial sectioning in the detection of occult malignancy. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 2005;23(1):127-132.
- 4. The Reduction in Risk of Ovarian Cancer Associated with Oral-Contraceptive Use. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1987;316(11):650-655.